European Journal of Innovation in Nonformal Education (EJINE)
Volume 1 | Issue 2 | Dec - 2021 ISSN: 2795-8612

For All Time and Every Season: The Ecological Cycle of Passion in Shakespearean Tragedy

Hafizur Rahman Khan
Associate Professor & HoD, Dept. of English, West Goalpara College, Balarbhita, Goalpara, Assam

A B S T R A C T
William Shakespeare's tragic canon remains a perennial touchstone for the human condition, its emotional resonance seemingly immune to the passage of time. This article argues that the enduring power of plays such as Hamlet, Othello, King Lear, Macbeth, and Romeo and Juliet stems from Shakespeare's conceptualization of human passion as an ecological force, operating within a natural and cyclical system of growth, storm, decay, and renewal. Moving beyond traditional character-based analysis, this study employs an eco-critical lens to illuminate how emotions like ambition, jealousy, and grief are framed not as mere psychological traits but as elemental, weather-like phenomena. The analysis traces this "ecological cycle" from the seeding of obsession (Iago's poison, the witches' prophecy), through the tempest of chaotic action (Lear's storm, Othello's raging jealousy), to the inevitable harvest of death and the barren winter of aftermath. Ultimately, this framework reveals that the tragedies' timelessness lies in their structural mimicry of nature's own permanence. By aligning the passions of his characters with the universal and immutable laws of the natural world, Shakespeare ensures that each generation finds his work as fresh and relevant as the changing seasons, securing its status as a definitive exploration of the human soul for all time.

A R T I C L E I N F O
Article history:
Received 15 Oct 2021
Received in revised form
15 Nov 2021
Accepted 30 Dec 2021

Keywords: Shakespearean Tragedy, Eco-criticism, Human Passion, Cyclicality, Timelessness, Nature, Emotion.

Hosting by Innovatus Publishing Co. All rights reserved. © 2023

Introduction: The Perennial Garden of Human Feeling

Ben Jonson's famous declaration that his contemporary William Shakespeare was "not of an age, but for all time!" has proven remarkably prescient. Centuries later, the emotional landscapes of Shakespeare's tragedies remain a universal touchstone, their power to captivate audiences and scholars alike undiminished. From the agonizing introspection of Hamlet to the corrosive jealousy of Othello and the frantic ambition of Macbeth, these plays explore the extremes of human passion with an immediacy that feels perpetually contemporary. This enduring resonance prompts a critical question: what is the source of this timelessness? This article contends that the answer lies not merely in the psychological depth of his characters, but in the very structural fabric of the dramas themselves. Shakespeare conceptualizes human passion not as a transient psychological state, but as an elemental, natural force—as permanent and cyclical as the seasons themselves.

This study will demonstrate that the passions driving Shakespearean tragedy operate within a discernible ecological cycle. Rather than presenting emotions as dated historical artifacts or simple character flaws, Shakespeare frames them as intrinsic components of a natural order: they are seeds that germinate, storms that break, and winters that inevitably descend. It is this deliberate structural mimicry of nature's own immutable patterns that renders plays like *Hamlet*, *Othello*, *King Lear*, *Macbeth*, and *Romeo and*

Juliet "evergreen" and universally resonant. By aligning the inner world of his characters with the external, eternal world of nature, Shakespeare ensures that his tragedies speak to the fundamental human condition across temporal and cultural boundaries.

To advance this argument, this paper will first establish a theoretical framework that blends principles of ecocriticism with the study of emotion, positioning passion as a dynamic ecological force. It will then trace the trajectory of this cycle through its distinct phases across the major tragedies: beginning with the **seed** of obsession (as seen in Iago's poison or the witches' prophecy), moving to the storm of chaotic action (manifest in Lear's madness on the heath or Othello's torrential jealousy), culminating in the **harvest** of consequences and the winter of despair, and finally, acknowledging the symbolic renewal found in the plays' enduring legacy. Through this analysis, we can see how Shakespeare's genius lies in his ability to map the human soul onto the permanent cycles of the natural world.

Theoretical Framework: Eco-criticism and the Nature of Passion:

To fully appreciate the ecological underpinnings of passion in Shakespearean tragedy, it is essential to establish a theoretical lens that extends the principles of eco-criticism inward. Traditionally, eco-criticism has focused on the representation of the physical environment in literature, analyzing landscapes, animals, and the relationship between human culture and the natural world. However, this article proposes an application of eco-critical thought to the internal, emotional worlds of Shakespeare's characters. It examines the tragic plays not merely as stories set within natural environments, but as intricate ecosystems of feeling themselves, where human passions obey laws analogous to those governing the external world. This approach allows us to move beyond a purely psychological or moral reading of character and instead analyze emotion as a dynamic, environmental force that shapes and is shaped by the dramatic action.

Central to this framework is the concept of **cyclicality versus linearity**. While the plot of a tragedy often follows a linear descent from order to chaos culminating in death, the emotional currents within it operate in recursive, naturalistic patterns. A linear view sees Hamlet's indecision or Macbeth's ambition as a fatal flaw leading directly to a catastrophic end. An ecological perspective, however, reveals how these passions ebb and flow, intensify and recede, much like seasons or weather cycles. This cyclical patterning—the way obsession returns with renewed force, or grief gives way to rage—makes the emotional trajectory feel inevitable and fundamentally natural, rather than merely plotted. The tragedy does not feel like an arbitrary punishment but like the inevitable consequence of a natural law being violated or a powerful storm running its course.

Building on this, the second key concept is the framing of **passion as a natural force**. Shakespeare consistently uses the lexicon of nature to describe the inner lives of his characters. Emotions are not simply felt; they are described as tempests, floods, droughts, and creeping blights. Lear's madness is mirrored and amplified by the storm on the heath; Othello's jealousy is a "current" as relentless as the "Pontic sea"; Lady Macbeth's ambition is an unnatural thirst that drowns her. By metaphorically aligning passion with uncontrollable environmental phenomena, Shakespeare elevates it from a personal, psychological trait to an impersonal, elemental power that characters are subjected to, rather than fully in control of. This characterization universalizes the experience, transforming individual plight into a confrontation with a force of nature.

Finally, this framework explains the **"evergreen" quality** of the plays. Nature's most defining characteristic is its capacity for renewal; after the barrenness of winter comes the rebirth of spring. While the protagonists of these tragedies meet their end, the plays themselves perpetually regenerate meaning for new audiences. Each generation finds its own anxieties and passions reflected in the cyclical, naturalized emotions Shakespeare depicts. Because the passions are structured as universal, natural cycles, they are never exhausted by a single interpretation. They remain, like nature itself, permanently relevant, offering a fresh harvest of insight with every new season of scholarship and performance. Thus, the ecological cycle of passion within the plays ensures their ecological survival in the world of culture.

The Ecological Cycle of Passion: A Textual Analysis

Having established an eco-critical framework for understanding passion, we now trace its cyclical trajectory through the tragedies. This analysis reveals a consistent pattern where emotion functions as an ecological

force, moving through phases of germination, violent growth, destructive harvest, and a stark aftermath that contains the seeds of renewal. By examining *Macbeth*, *Othello*, *King Lear*, *Hamlet,* and *Romeo and Juliet,* we can map this perennial cycle.

A. Spring: The Seeding of Obsession

The tragic cycle begins not with a grand action, but with the subtle sowing of a destructive seed—an idea or emotion planted in fertile ground that will eventually overwhelm the ecosystem of the self.

In *Macbeth,* the Three Witches act as unnatural gardeners, planting the seed of regicidal ambition in a mind ripe for its growth. Their prophecy—"All hail, Macbeth that shalt be king hereafter!"—lands not on barren soil but in a psyche already preconditioned by "vaulting ambition." This seeding is vividly captured in Macbeth's subsequent soliloquy: "My thought, whose murder yet is but fantastical, / Shakes so my single state of man that function / Is smother'd in surmise" (Act 1, Sc. 3). The "horrid image" of murder takes root immediately, an invasive species of thought that begins to choke his reason. The ecological metaphor is precise: the seed is external, but its growth depends on the internal conditions it finds. Lady Macbeth, recognizing this, acts as a force of cultivation, urging this nascent obsession to full bloom with her own "fell purpose."

Similarly, in *Othello*, Iago is a poisoner of the emotional landscape, deliberately introducing a invasive species of doubt into a previously harmonious ecosystem. His declaration, "I will pour this pestilence into his ear" (Act 2, Sc. 3), frames jealousy not as an organic emotion but as a contagion, a blight introduced from without. The "fertile ground" is Othello's own passionate nature and his absolute, almost naïve, trust in his ancient. Iago's insinuations—"Look to your wife; observe her well with Cassio"—are the carefully scattered seeds that find purchase in the soil of Othello's insecurities as an outsider in Venetian society. This initial seeding is a critical phase; it is a quiet, almost imperceptible moment that contains the entire destructive potential of the coming storm, demonstrating how the cycle begins with a single, corrupting point of inception.

B. Summer: The Tempest of Action

From the seeded obsession erupts the summer storm—a period of intense, chaotic action where passion overwhelms reason and becomes a destructive, uncontrollable force of nature, often mirrored by the external world.

King Lear provides the most literal manifestation of this phase. As Lear's pride and rage at his daughters' ingratitude reach their zenith, the internal tempest within his mind breaks forth into the external world. On the heath, he confronts the elements, commanding them: "Blow, winds, and crack your cheeks! rage! blow! / You cataracts and hurricanoes, spout / Till you have drench'd our steeples, drown'd the cocks!" (Act 3, Sc. 2). The storm is not merely a pathetic fallacy but a direct correlative and extension of his own shattered psyche. His passion—a compound of fury, grief, and burgeoning madness—has become the weather itself, a force so vast it threatens to dismantle the entire natural and social order. The summer storm represents the point where internal emotion can no longer be contained and erupts as cosmic chaos.

In *Othello*, the tempest is maritime. Othello's jealousy, once seeded, rapidly intensifies into a tidal wave of rage that obliterates his former self. He articulates this transformation in ecological terms, swearing by the "marble heaven" that his revenge will be as inexorable as the flow of the "Pontic sea, / Whose icy current and compulsive course / Ne'er feels retiring ebb, but keeps due on / To the Propontic and the Hellespont" (Act 3, Sc. 3). His "bloody thoughts" are given the attributes of a natural current—"violent," "compulsive," and unstoppable. This metaphor captures the summer phase perfectly: the passion has grown from a seed into a dominant, directional force, sweeping away all logical counter-arguments (Desdemona's pleas, Emilia's testimony) in its path. It is a storm of action, leading directly to the murderous climax.

C. Autumn: The Blight and the Harvest of Consequences

The tempest of passion inevitably leads to a autumnal harvest, a grim reaping of the consequences sown in the first phase. This is the stage of decay, death, and the blight that spreads from the individual to the entire social and moral ecosystem.

In *Hamlet*, the initial sin of Claudius—the murder of King Hamlet—is the original blight that has poisoned the entire state of Denmark. Marcellus's observation that "Something is rotten in the state of Denmark" (Act 1, Sc. 4) is a diagnosis of a sickened ecosystem. Hamlet's own struggle, his indecision and feigned madness, can be seen as a symptom of this wider corruption, a paralysis induced by the toxic environment. The play's conclusion, the gruesome harvest of the final scene, is the direct fruition of this rot. The deaths of Hamlet, Laertes, Gertrude, and Claudius are not merely a pile of corpses; they are the inevitable yield of the poison that has been circulating since the play's beginning. The garden of the state must be purged with blood before it can be cleansed.

Romeo and Juliet offers a poignant variation on this theme. The "violent delights" of their love, as Friar Laurence warns, inherently contain the seeds of their own end: "These violent delights have violent ends / And in their triumph die, like fire and powder, / Which as they kiss consume" (Act 2, Sc. 6). Their passion is not a slow-growing blight but a beautiful, rapid, and unsustainable bloom—a flower that grows too quickly in a hostile environment. The feud between the Montagues and Capulets is the frost that kills it. The harvest here is the tragic, wasteful death of the young lovers, a direct consequence of a passion that could not be sustained within its social ecosystem. Their love, however pure, ripens too quickly and is cut down, a victim of the harsh seasonal logic of their world.

D. Winter: The Barren Landscape and the Seed of Memory

The cycle concludes with winter—a landscape of absolute desolation and loss. Yet, even in this barrenness, there exists the potential for renewal, not for the characters, but for the audience and the restored social order, ensuring the cycle's perpetuation through memory.

The quintessential winter landscape is the conclusion of *King Lear*. With Cordelia's death, all meaning and hope are extinguished. Lear's agonized query—"Why should a dog, a horse, a rat, have life, / And thou no breath at all?" (Act 5, Sc. 3)—echoes across a world stripped bare of justice and compassion. The stage is littered with bodies, and the surviving characters, like Edgar and Albany, are left to "speak what we feel, not what we ought to say." This is the emotional and moral zero-point, the winter of the soul where the storm has passed, leaving only devastation in its wake. There is no easy comfort, only the stark, bare facts of loss.

However, in *Hamlet* and *Macbeth*, this winter phase explicitly contains the seed of a new political season. Fortinbras arrives to take command of Denmark, and Malcolm is hailed as the new King of Scotland. The passionate chaos that consumed the protagonists has, in a grimly ecological sense, cleared the ground for new growth. The corrupt or weakened state is purged, allowing for a restoration of order. Most importantly, the plays themselves become the seed of memory. Hamlet's dying wish that Horatio "tell my story" is the ultimate act of ensuring the cycle's meaning is not lost. The tragedy, as a work of art, is the vessel that carries the lessons of this emotional cycle forward, allowing each new generation to witness the seasons of passion anew. It is in this act of retelling and re-interpretation that the "evergreen" quality is secured, completing the ecological cycle and preparing the ground for it to begin again in the mind of the next audience.

Conclusion: The Evergreen Legacy

The analysis presented herein demonstrates that the enduring power of Shakespeare's major tragedies is inextricably linked to their structural embodiment of an ecological cycle. By tracing the trajectory of passion—from the **seeding of obsession** in *Macbeth* and *Othello*, through the **tempest of action** that consumes Lear and Othello, to the grim **harvest of consequences** in the rotten state of Denmark and the doomed love of Verona, and finally to the **barren winter of loss** that nonetheless contains the seed of memory—we uncover a profound mimetic principle. Shakespeare does not merely place his characters in natural settings; he architects their inner worlds according to nature's most fundamental laws of growth, storm, decay, and potential renewal. This cyclical framework is the structural key that unlocks the plays' unique emotional resonance, transforming linear narratives of individual failure into universal explorations of inescapable, elemental forces.

This ecological model is the cornerstone of their timelessness. Because passions are framed not as period-specific psychological constructs but as phenomena analogous to tempests, blights, and inexorable currents,

they transcend the particulars of Elizabethan court politics or social mores. The jealousy that consumes Othello is no different in its essential nature from a hurricane; the ambition that drives Macbeth follows the same life-cycle as an invasive plant. By aligning human emotion with these immutable, universal patterns, Shakespeare ensures his works speak directly to the core of the "human condition," feeling permanently fresh and relevant to each new generation that encounters them. The plays are not historical artifacts but living systems.

In conclusion, we return to Shakespeare's tragedies as we return to nature itself. They are not simply stories about people, but vast, immersive **landscapes of feeling**. We journey into the storm on the heath, navigate the raging sea of jealousy, and wander the barren field of loss not for escapism, but for orientation. These plays endure because they provide a fundamental map of the human soul's terrain, allowing us to understand our place in a world governed by powerful, perennial forces. They are, in their deepest structure, evergreen because the nature they mirror is eternal.

Works Cited:

Primary Texts:

1. Shakespeare, William. *Hamlet*. Edited by Ann Thompson and Neil Taylor, The Arden Shakespeare Third Series, Bloomsbury Publishing, 2006.
2. ---. *King Lear*. Edited by R.A. Foakes, The Arden Shakespeare Third Series, Bloomsbury Publishing, 1997.
3. ---. *Macbeth*. Edited by Sandra Clark and Pamela Mason, The Arden Shakespeare Third Series, Bloomsbury Publishing, 2015.
4. ---. *Othello*. Edited by E.A.J. Honigmann, The Arden Shakespeare Third Series, Bloomsbury Publishing, 1996.
5. ---. *Romeo and Juliet*. Edited by René Weis, The Arden Shakespeare Third Series, Bloomsbury Publishing, 2012.

Secondary Sources:

6. Bate, Jonathan. *The Song of the Earth*. Harvard University Press, 2000.
7. ---. *Soul of the Age: A Biography of the Mind of William Shakespeare*. Random House, 2009.
8. Berry, Philippa. *Shakespeare's Feminine Endings: Disfiguring Death in the Tragedies*. Routledge, 1999.
9. Bloom, Harold. *Shakespeare: The Invention of the Human*. Riverhead Books, 1998.
10. Buell, Lawrence. *The Future of Environmental Criticism: Environmental Crisis and Literary Imagination*. Blackwell Publishing, 2005.
11. Eagleton, Terry. *Sweet Violence: The Idea of the Tragic*. Blackwell Publishing, 2003.
12. Garber, Marjorie. *Shakespeare After All*. Anchor Books, 2004.
13. Garrard, Greg. *Ecocriticism*. 2nd ed., Routledge, 2011.
14. Greenblatt, Stephen. *Will in the World: How Shakespeare Became Shakespeare*. W.W. Norton & Company, 2004.
15. Kerrigan, John. *Shakespeare's Binding Language*. Oxford University Press, 2016.
16. Kermode, Frank. *Shakespeare's Language*. Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 2000.
17. Nardizzi, Vin. "Shakespeare's Vegetal Imagination: The Ecology of Plant Life in *The Winter's Tale*." *Early Modern Ecostudies: From the Florentine Codex to Shakespeare*, edited by Thomas Hallock et al., Palgrave Macmillan, 2008, pp. 63-74.
18. Platt, Peter G. *Shakespeare and the Culture of Paradox*. Ashgate, 2009.

19. Rueckert, William. "Literature and Ecology: An Experiment in Ecocriticism." *The Ecocriticism Reader: Landmarks in Literary Ecology*, edited by Cheryll Glotfelty and Harold Fromm, University of Georgia Press, 1996, pp. 105-123.
20. Sewell, Arthur. *Character and Society in Shakespeare*. Oxford University Press, 1951.
21. Watson, Robert N. *Back to Nature: The Green and the Real in the Late Renaissance*. University of Pennsylvania Press, 2006.
22. West, Robert H. "Passion and Reason in Shakespeare's Tragedies." *The Sewanee Review*, vol. 65, no. 2, 1957, pp. 306–19.