European Journal of Innovation in Nonformal Education (EJINE)
Volume 6 | Issue 3 | Mar - 2026 ISSN: 2795-8612

PROMOTING EQUITABLE OUTCOMES IN SPECIAL EDUCATION AND REHABILITATION THROUGH CULTURALLY RESPONSIVE COUNSELLING PRACTICES

Haruna Saidu[1], Atabor Micheal[2]
[1]Department of Adult and Continuing Education, Federal University Wukari Taraba State,
[2]Department of Adult and Continuing Education, Federal University Wukari Taraba State,
harunasaidu@fuwukari.edu.ng, atabor@fuwukari.edu.ng

A B S T R A C T

This article examines the persistent disparities in special education and rehabilitation outcomes experienced by culturally and linguistically diverse (CLD) populations. Despite legislative efforts and professional standards emphasizing equity, significant gaps remain across identification, access, family engagement, and long-term outcomes. The paper advocates for the integration of culturally responsive counselling practices as a transformative approach to address these inequities. Drawing on various theoretical frameworks including multicultural counselling, critical disability theory, ecological systems theory, and strength-based approaches the article outlines key elements of culturally responsive practice. It further discusses systemic considerations, implementation strategies, and evaluation methods to promote sustainable change. Ultimately, the article underscores the importance of a comprehensive, systemic shift toward culturally cantered practices to achieve equitable outcomes in special education and rehabilitation.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 15 Dec 2025
Received in revised form 15 Feb 2026
Accepted 13 Mar 2026

Keywords: Culturally responsive counselling, disparities, special education, rehabilitation, cultural competence, systemic change, equity, CLD populations

Hosting by Innovatus Publishing Co. All rights reserved. © 2026

Introduction

The intersection of disability, cultural identity, and socioeconomic factors creates complex challenges for special education and rehabilitation professionals seeking to provide equitable services. Despite decades of legislative mandates and professional standards emphasizing equal access and culturally appropriate services, significant disparities persist in educational and rehabilitation outcomes for culturally and linguistically diverse (CLD) populations [1][2]. These disparities manifest across multiple dimensions including identification rates, placement decisions, intervention effectiveness, family engagement, and long-term outcomes.

This position paper contends that culturally responsive counselling practices represent a critical, yet underutilized, approach to addressing these disparities. Culturally responsive counselling extends beyond basic cultural awareness to encompass a comprehensive framework that acknowledges the central role of culture in all aspects of the counselling relationship and intervention process. When applied with fidelity in special education and rehabilitation contexts, these practices hold significant promise for transforming service delivery systems and promoting more equitable outcomes.

The purpose of this paper is threefold:

1. To synthesize current research on disparities in special education and rehabilitation outcomes and their relationship to cultural factors;
2. To articulate a comprehensive framework for culturally responsive counselling practice in these settings; and
3. To provide actionable recommendations for practitioners, administrators, policymakers, and researchers to implement and evaluate culturally responsive approaches.

Methodology

The present study employed a qualitative conceptual research methodology, the goal of which was to document the prevalence of differences in special education and rehabilitation outcome related to culturally and linguistically diverse (CLD) groups, and to present information on the potential role of culturally responsive counselling strategies to ameliorate inequities. Methodologically, this review utilized secondary data by way of a comprehensive review and synthesis of literature, policy, and empirical studies covering areas related to special education, rehabilitation services, multicultural counselling, and culturally-responsive practice. A systematic review of the academic journal article and book literature including government publication, international research, and other reports to identify salient themes related to identification, service delivery, family involvement, and long-term outcomes of CLD populations with disabilities. Sources were selected based on their relation to the study topic, the credibility of the helpmates, and their ability to contribute meaningfully to an understanding of the relationship between culture, disability, and service delivery systems. Using analysis and synthesis review methods, the study critically examined four theoretical components (International multicultural counselling theory, critical disability theory, significance and role of ecological systems theory, and strength-based approaches to practice in establishing a comprehensive conceptual framework for culturally responsive counselling practices. This methodological process also included a critical reflection of current institutional practices and system factors that affect equitable access to services in special education and rehabilitation settings. Furthermore, this review amalgamated evidence-informed theoretical frameworks / models that provide guides to practitioners, administrators, and policy makers for embedding culturally responsive counselling in service delivery systems. Using various theories and research data, the methodological approach facilitated the assembling of a comprehensive framework that would contribute to achieving equitable results for culturally and linguistically diverse persons with disability. Overall, this conceptual framework contributes to an evidence-informed perspective on transforming education and rehabilitation systems via culturally appropriate counselling practice to address the needs of diverse populations.

Result and Discussion

Current Disparities in Special Education and Rehabilitation

Disproportionate Representation

The persistent pattern of disproportionate representation of CLD students in special education categories continues to challenge the field. Research consistently demonstrates:

- Black students are 1.4 times more likely to be identified for special education services than all other racial/ethnic groups combined [3].
- Hispanic and Latino students are significantly underrepresented in gifted education programs while overrepresented in specific learning disability categories [4].
- English Language Learners experience delayed identification for services and are more likely to be placed in more restrictive settings [5].
- Indigenous students are disproportionately classified with emotional/behavioural disorders and intellectual disabilities [6].

These patterns reflect not only potential biases in assessment and identification processes but also fundamental misalignments between mainstream educational practices and diverse cultural experiences [7].

Inequitable Access to Services

Beyond identification disparities, CLD individuals experience inequitable access to high-quality special education and rehabilitation services:

- CLD students are less likely to receive evidence-based interventions with appropriate cultural adaptations [8].
- Rehabilitation services for CLD individuals with disabilities are characterized by lower engagement rates, higher dropout rates, and less favourable outcomes [9].
- Telehealth and digital intervention approaches have exacerbated disparities for communities with limited technological resources [10].
- Language barriers significantly impact service quality when appropriate interpreter services are unavailable or underutilized [11].

Family Engagement Barriers

Effective partnerships with families represent a cornerstone of successful special education and rehabilitation interventions. CLD families encounter significant obstacles to meaningful engagement, including cultural mismatches between professional and family perspectives on disability, development, and appropriate interventions, which hinder effective communication [12]. Institutional practices often do not accommodate diverse family structures, values, and decision-making processes, further complicating involvement [13]. Additionally, language barriers and insufficient translation services limit these families' ability to participate fully in educational planning and decision-making [14]. Consequently, CLD families tend to report higher dissatisfaction with professional interactions and exhibit lower levels of trust in educational and rehabilitation systems [15].

Transition and Long-Term Outcomes

The cumulative impact of these disparities results in substantial differences in long-term outcomes for CLD youth with disabilities, who face higher dropout rates, lower college enrolment, and more limited employment prospects compared to their white peers [16]. Access to vocational rehabilitation services varies significantly based on racial/ethnic background and geographic location [17], and culturally responsive transition planning remains rare in most educational settings [18]. These ongoing disparities indicate that current approaches to special education and rehabilitation services, despite their good intentions, have largely failed to adequately address the complex interplay between disability, culture, and systems of care. Culturally responsive counselling practices present a promising avenue for transforming these systems and promoting more equitable outcomes.

Theoretical Foundations of Culturally Responsive Counselling

Multicultural counselling theory (MCT) emphasizes the vital role of culture in human experience, requiring counsellors to develop awareness of power dynamics, personal biases, and clients' cultural worldviews, while adapting interventions accordingly. It views culture as intersectional and fluid, evolving beyond traditional competence models [19][20]. Critical Disability Theory (CDT) complements this by framing disability as a social construct shaped by societal attitudes and environmental barriers, advocating for systemic inclusion and challenging normative assumptions about ability [21]. Ecological Systems Theory highlights how multiple nested systems, from immediate environments to societal structures, influence development and intervention, especially in special education and rehabilitation contexts [22]. Strength-based and empowerment approaches further reinforce this framework by focusing on individuals' resources, resilience, and cultural strengths, promoting self-determination and challenging deficit-based narratives to foster equity and culturally responsive practices [23].

Key Elements of Culturally Responsive Counselling Practice

Culturally responsive counselling in special education and rehabilitation settings encompasses several essential elements that distinguish it from conventional approaches:

Cultural Humility as Foundational Stance

Cultural humility represents a lifelong commitment to self-evaluation and critique, addressing power imbalances, and developing mutually beneficial partnerships with communities [24][25]. In practice,

this manifests as:

- Ongoing self-reflection on one's cultural positioning, biases, and assumptions
- Openness to learning from clients and communities about their cultural experiences
- Recognition of the limits of one's cultural knowledge and the need for continuous learning
- Willingness to adapt approaches based on cultural feedback

Cultural humility stands in contrast to static notions of cultural competence by emphasizing process over endpoint and relationship over mastery.

Assessment Practices That Centre Cultural Context

Culturally responsive assessment practices recognize that all evaluation tools and processes reflect cultural assumptions that may disadvantage CLD individuals [26]:

- Utilizing multiple methods of assessment including dynamic assessment approaches
- Incorporating cultural informants and cultural brokers in the assessment process
- Distinguishing between cultural differences and actual disabilities or functional limitations
- Considering the impact of acculturation, migration experiences, and historical trauma
- Adapting interpretation guidelines to account for cultural and linguistic factors

These assessment practices help prevent inappropriate identification and placement decisions that have historically contributed to educational inequities.

Linguistic Responsiveness

Language forms a core component of cultural identity and significantly impacts the counselling process [27]:

- Providing professional interpreter services when counsellors are not fluent in the client's preferred language
- Recognizing dialectical variations and avoiding linguistic bias in assessments and interventions
- Understanding the complexities of bilingual/multilingual development in educational contexts
- Adapting communication styles to align with culturally-specific discourse patterns
- Supporting language maintenance while facilitating functional communication skills

Linguistic responsiveness extends beyond mere translation to encompass deeper understanding of how language shapes worldview and self-expression.

Family-Cantered Approaches

Culturally responsive practice recognizes the diverse structures, roles, and values within families from different cultural backgrounds [28]:

- Expanding definitions of "family" beyond nuclear models to include extended kinship networks
- Accommodating diverse decision-making processes that may involve multiple family members
- Scheduling meetings at times and locations that facilitate family participation
- Creating welcoming environments that reflect community cultural values
- Developing collaborative partnerships that respect family expertise

These approaches acknowledge families as essential partners rather than passive recipients of professional recommendations.

Integration of Cultural Healing Practices

Culturally responsive counsellors recognize and integrate traditional healing practices and cultural resources when appropriate [29]:

- Exploring clients' cultural explanatory models of disability and development
- Collaborating with cultural healers or spiritual leaders when valued by families
- Incorporating culturally-specific wellness practices into intervention approaches
- Recognizing culture-specific protective factors and coping strategies
- Avoiding imposing Western therapeutic models when they conflict with cultural values

This integration honours cultural wisdom while maintaining professional standards and ethical guidelines.

Advocacy and Systems Navigation Support

Culturally responsive counsellors recognize that CLD individuals often face systemic barriers requiring advocacy and navigation support [30]:

- Providing explicit guidance on navigating complex educational and rehabilitation systems
- Advocating for accommodations that address both disability and cultural needs

- Connecting families with culturally specific community resources
- Supporting self-advocacy skills development in culturally appropriate ways
- Identifying and addressing systemic barriers at institutional and policy levels

These advocacy functions extend the counsellor's role beyond direct service to encompass systemic change efforts.

Institutional and Structural Considerations

Culturally responsive counselling cannot succeed without supportive institutional structures and policies. Addressing the underrepresentation of CLD professionals in special education and rehabilitation is essential for better culturally aligned services and involves targeted recruitment, career pathways, mentorship, and organizational changes. Developing cultural responsiveness requires regular audits, advisory boards, accountability, resources, and leadership commitment. Interdisciplinary collaboration, integrated service models, shared development, coordinated care, and breaking down funding silos are also vital to prevent service fragmentation for CLD individuals with disabilities [31][32].

Technology and Accessibility

Digital tools and telehealth approaches must be implemented with cultural and linguistic accessibility. Implementing digital tools and telehealth with cultural and linguistic accessibility is essential, involving technologies that support diversity, addressing digital divides, developing culturally responsive protocols, creating inclusive resources, and engaging CLD communities in development. When done thoughtfully, technology can support culturally responsive practices. Building cultural responsiveness requires comprehensive professional development starting with pre-service education that integrates multicultural content, practical experiences, and critical reflection. Ongoing learning through coaching, communities of practice, real-world case studies, immersion, and specialized certifications further reinforce these skills, emphasizing application and continuous growth beyond basic training [33].

Supervision and Mentoring

Clinical supervision plays a critical role in developing culturally responsive practitioners [34]:

Culturally responsive practices are vital for promoting equity and effectiveness across supervision, leadership, and implementation. This includes integrating cultural dimensions into supervision, providing targeted training, creating peer support groups, and developing tools for cultural issues. Leadership development should focus on nurturing CLD professionals, implementing programs on cultural responsiveness, establishing accountability, and fostering networks dedicated to equity. Successful implementation depends on community-based needs assessments, stakeholder engagement, resource mapping, barrier identification, and collaboration with cultural brokers to ensure services are aligned with community needs.

Multi-Tiered Implementation Model

A tiered implementation framework provides structure for addressing needs at universal, targeted, and intensive levels:

Universal/Preventive (Tier 1)

- Creating welcoming environments that reflect community cultural diversity
- Implementing universal screening processes with cultural adaptations
- Providing information about services in multiple languages and formats
- Establishing policies that accommodate diverse cultural practices and holidays
- Training all staff in foundational cultural humility principles

Targeted (Tier 2)

- Developing culture-specific psych-educational and support groups
- Creating targeted outreach strategies for underserved cultural communities
- Implementing cultural broker programs for specific linguistic groups
- Establishing specialized consultation teams for complex cultural situations
- Developing targeted professional development for staff serving specific populations

Intensive (Tier 3)

- Providing culturally adapted individual counselling interventions
- Implementing wraparound services with cultural specificity
- Creating intensive family support programs for CLD families
- Developing specialized transition programs addressing cultural dimensions
- Establishing interagency collaboration for complex cross-cultural cases

This tiered approach ensures appropriate intensity and specificity of culturally responsive interventions.

Implementation Science Framework

Implementation science provides valuable frameworks for scaling and sustaining culturally responsive practices. Implementation science provides key frameworks for effectively scaling and sustaining culturally responsive practices by focusing on competency, support, leadership, tailored strategies, and continuous improvement. These approaches help connect research with practical application, ensuring lasting change. Technology also plays a crucial role by offering tools like data systems, digital resources, telehealth, translation, and virtual reality to expand reach and improve cultural responsiveness. When used thoughtfully, these strategies enhance the effectiveness of culturally responsive initiatives.

Evaluation and Outcome Measurement

Meaningful evaluation of culturally responsive practices requires thoughtful approaches to measurement and outcome assessment:

Equity-Focused Metrics

Traditional outcome measures often fail to capture dimensions most relevant to cultural responsiveness:

- Disaggregating all outcome data by cultural, linguistic, and socioeconomic factors
- Developing specific indicators of equitable access, quality, and outcomes
- Measuring reductions in disproportionality across multiple dimensions
- Tracking family engagement and satisfaction by cultural group
- Assessing long-term outcomes with attention to cultural variables

These equity-focused metrics provide accountability and direction for ongoing improvement efforts.

Process Evaluation

Beyond outcome measurement, process evaluation examines how culturally responsive practices are implemented by developing fidelity measures tailored to cultural dimensions, creating observation protocols to assess responsiveness, and implementing reflection tools for practitioners to self-assess their cultural responsiveness. It also involves tracking implementation barriers and facilitators across diverse cultural contexts and documenting adaptations made to accommodate cultural differences, helping identify challenges early on. Additionally, participatory evaluation approaches emphasize that CLD communities should be active partners rather than passive subjects, through training community members as evaluation partners, incorporating community-defined success indicators, creating accessible formats for sharing findings, establishing community forums for interpretation and feedback, and ensuring evaluation benefits are returned to communities. These participatory methods enhance the validity and utility of evaluation outcomes by fostering engagement and relevance.

Conclusion

The persistent disparities in special education and rehabilitation outcomes for culturally and linguistically diverse populations present both an ethical challenge and a practical necessity, requiring a shift toward culturally responsive practices that address inequities at individual, institutional, and systemic levels. These practices offer a comprehensive framework for fostering transformation by placing culture at the centre of assessment, intervention, and evaluation, thereby tackling the complex factors that have long perpetuated disparities. Achieving meaningful progress demands collaboration among practitioners, administrators, policymakers, researchers, and community members, all committed to shared goals of equity and inclusion. While the challenges are significant, the potential benefits such as more effective services, improved outcomes, increased trust, and a more just society are profound. Culturally responsive practice is not merely an addition to traditional methods but a fundamental shift in how we conceptualize

and deliver services, requiring ongoing commitment, humility, and open conversations about power, privilege, and institutional reform. The future of the field depends on our collective dedication to embracing these challenges and working toward a more inclusive and equitable system.

Recommendations

The above discussion illustrates the Promoting Equitable Outcomes in Special Education and Rehabilitation through Culturally Responsive Counselling Practices**.** In reflecting on this topic, therefore the following points were recommended for consideration:

1. Incorporate culturally responsive practices and standards at federal, state, and local levels.
2. Increase funding and incentives to support training, hiring, and program development for CLD populations.
3. Implement regular assessments, audits, and accountability measures focused on cultural responsiveness.
4. Develop specialized training, certification, and professional development opportunities for practitioners.
5. Foster community involvement and mentorship to advance culturally responsive education and services.

References

[1] A. J. Artiles, S. Dorn, and A. Bal, "Beyond technical solutions: Rethinking equity in special education," *Harvard Educational Review*, vol. 93, no. 1, pp. 34–57, 2023.

[2] D. Y. Ford and C. J. Russo, "Addressing underrepresentation of gifted Black and Hispanic students: An analysis of policies and practices," *Gifted Child Quarterly*, vol. 67, no. 1, pp. 35–47, 2023.

[3] U.S. Department of Education, *43rd Annual Report to Congress on the Implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, 2021*. Washington, DC, USA: Author, 2023.

[4] P. L. Morgan, G. Farkas, M. M. Hillemeier, and S. Maczuga, "Minorities are disproportionately underrepresented in special education: Longitudinal evidence across five disability conditions," *Educational Researcher*, vol. 53, no. 1, pp. 12–26, 2024.

[5] A. L. Sullivan, "Serving English learners with disabilities: Current policies, practices, and challenges," *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, vol. 57, no. 1, pp. 42–59, 2024.

[6] Z. Wu, P. L. Morgan, and G. Farkas, "Identifying factors associated with disproportionate special education representation among Native American students," *Exceptional Children*, vol. 90, no. 2, pp. 173–189, 2024.

[7] A. J. Artiles and E. B. Kozleski, "Promising conceptual and methodological directions in addressing equity in special education," *Exceptional Children*, vol. 88, no. 4, pp. 459–476, 2022.